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ABSTRACT

Objective: To study the antiulcer activity of aqueous extract of roots of Tephrosia purpurea (AETP) using different models of gastric and duodenal ulceration in rats.

Methods: Antiulcer activity of AETP was studied in rats in which gastric ulcers were induced by oral administration of ethanol or 0.6 M HCl or indomethacin or by pyloric ligation and duodenal ulcers were induced by oral administration of cysteamine HCI. AETP was administered in the dose of 1 to 20 mg/kg orally 30 min prior to ulcer induction. The antiulcer activity was assessed by determining and comparing the ulcer index in the test drug group with that of the vehicle control group. Gastric total acid output and pepsin activity were estimated in the pylorus ligated rats. Omeprazole was used as a reference drug.

Results: The ulcer index in the AETP treated animals was found to be significantly less in all the models compared to vehicle control animals. This antiulcer property was more prominent in animals in whom ulcers were induced by HCl, indomethacin and pyloric ligation. Omeprazole (8 mg/kg) produced a significant gastric and duodenal ulcer protection when compared with the control group. The anti-ulcer activity of AETP was however, less than that of omeprazole.

Conclusion: Our results suggest that AETP possesses significant antiulcer property which could be either due to cytoprotective action of the drug or by strengthening of gastric and duodenal mucosa and thus enhancing mucosal defence.
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INTRODUCTION

Tephrosia purpurea (L) Pers (Fabaceae) is a wild plant, known as 'Sharpunkha' in Sanskrit and 'Unhali' in Gujarati. It has been reported to possess hepatoprotective, mast cell stabilizing and erythrocyte membrane integrity enhancing effect in various experimental models. In Ayurvedic literature Tephrosia purpurea is given the name of 'Sarwa wran vishpaha' which means that it has the property to cure all type of wounds. Since gastric and duodenal ulcers are inner wounds, we have studied the antiulcer potential of this plant on different models of gastric and duodenal ulceration.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Experimental animals: The study was conducted on Albino rats (Wistar) of 150-200 g and maintained under standard conditions (room temperature 24-27°C and humidity 60-65%) with 12 h light and dark cycle. The food in the form of dry pellets (Amrut Lab., Pune) and water were available ad libitum. Rats of either sex, were randomly allocated to groups of 6-10 animals each. The animal experiments were approved by the ethics committee of the institute.

Chemicals and drugs: Ethanol (Baroda Chemicals Industries Ltd., Dabhoi), HCl LR (Thomas baker, Mumbai), cysteamine (Sigma Chemical Co., USA), indomethacin (Torrent Research Centre, Gandhinagar), omeprazole (Kopran Pharma Ltd., Mumbai), aqueous extract of Tephrosia purpurea (AETP) and carboxy methyl cellulose (CMC) were used in the study.

Preparation of aqueous extract of Tephrosia purpurea (AETP): Since the plant Tephrosia
pupurea grows wildly in Gandhinagar (Gujarat) it was collected locally and identified by the Department of Pharmacognosy of our Institute. A voucher specimen has been kept in the department for further reference. Aerial parts of the plants were removed and roots were cut into small pieces and dried under shade in a room. After 7 days of drying, the roots were powdered by grinding and sieved with a 40 # sieve. The powder was then macerated with distilled water for 24 h. Later the extract was filtered and dried at 45°C (yield 7.46% ).

In all the experimental models of gastroduodenal ulcer formation the control (group I) and the reference group (group VI) received 0.5 % carboxy methyl cellulose (CMC), 1 ml/kg and omeprazole 8 mg/kg, p.o. respectively. The treatment groups (group II - V) received graded doses of AETP 1, 5, 10 (or) 20 mg/kg, p.o. as indicated in the tables.

**Gastric cytoprotection methods**: (Ethanol / 0.6 M HCl induced ulcers). Thirty minutes after the test or reference drug or the control vehicle treatment, 1 ml of ethanol or 0.6 M HCl was orally administered to each rat. After 1 h the rats were euthenised with excess of anesthetic ether and stomach was cut open along the greater curvature, cleared of residual matter with saline and the inner surface was examined for ulceration. Ulcer index and % ulcer protection were calculated by using the methods described earlier.

**Indomethacin-induced gastric mucosal damage**: The test drug or reference drug or the control vehicle was administered in two doses at an interval of 15 h. Indomethacin (10 mg/kg, p.o.) was administered by gavage needle in two doses after 30 min. of administration of each dose of test compound. One hour after the second dose of indomethacin all rats were sacrificed. The number of ulcer spots in the glandular portion of the stomach were counted in both control and drug treated animals and the ulcer index was calculated.

**Cysteamine-induced duodenal ulceration**: Cysteamine HCl (400 mg/kg, p.o. in 10% aqueous solution) was administered in two doses at an interval of 4 h to produce duodenal ulcers in rats. The AETP or reference drug or control vehicle was administered 30 min before each dose of cysteamine HCl. All the animals were sacrificed 24 h after the first dose of cysteamine and the duodenum was excised carefully and opened along the antimesenteric side. The ulcer score was obtained by measuring the dimensions of the duodenal ulcer(s) in square millimeters and ulcer index was determined using the method described earlier.

**Pyloric ligation method**: In this method albino rats were fasted in individual cages for 24 h. Care was being taken to avoid coprophagy. AETP or reference drug or control vehicle was administered 30 min prior to pyloric ligation. Under light ether anesthesia, the abdomen was opened and the pylorus was ligated. The abdomen was then sutured. At the end of 4 h after ligation, the animals were sacrificed with excess of anesthetic ether, and the stomach was dissected out. Gastric juice was collected and its volume was measured. The glandular portion was then exposed and examined for ulceration. Ulcer index was determined.

**Total acid output and pepsin activity**: Total acid output and pepsin activity were estimated from gastric juice collected from the 4 h pyloric ligated rats.

**Statistical analysis**: The statistical analysis was carried out using one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparisons for the data which are normally distributed. For the data of ordinal type, a non parametric test was used. Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA was computed for overall significance and for observing significant difference. Wilcoxon Rank Sum test was used to analyse independent groups for significant difference between them. All the results
Table 1. Effect of AETP against ethanol/0.6 M HCl/indomethacin induced gastric ulcers in rats.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group and dose (mg/kg; oral)</th>
<th>Ethanol</th>
<th>0.6 M HCl</th>
<th>Indomethacin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n Ulcer index mean±SEM</td>
<td>% Ulcer protection</td>
<td>n Ulcer index mean±SEM</td>
<td>% Ulcer protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle control</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.96 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AETP 1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.35 ± 0.12</td>
<td>20.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AETP 5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.76 ± 0.15**</td>
<td>40.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AETP 10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.63 ± 0.14**</td>
<td>44.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AETP 20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.61 ± 0.27**</td>
<td>45.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omeprazole 8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.06**</td>
<td>56.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-way F</td>
<td>30.79</td>
<td>47.58</td>
<td>H=30.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANOVA df</td>
<td>5, 40</td>
<td>4, 27</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P &lt; 0.01 &lt; 0.01 &lt;0.00001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significantly different from control at **P<0.01 (Dunnett's test).
Significantly different from control at **P<0.01 (# Wilcoxon Rank Sum test).

Table 2. Effect of AETP against cysteamine induced duodenal ulcers in rats.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group and dose (mg/kg; oral)</th>
<th>Ulcer score median (Min, Max)</th>
<th>Ulcer positive animals total animals</th>
<th>Ulcer index</th>
<th>% Ulcer protection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle control</td>
<td>2 (1, 3)</td>
<td>8/8</td>
<td>4.125</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AETP 5 2</td>
<td>(0, 2)</td>
<td>6/8</td>
<td>2.965</td>
<td>28.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AETP 100</td>
<td>(0, 2)</td>
<td>5/8</td>
<td>2.475</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AETP 20</td>
<td>0** (0, 2)</td>
<td>3/7</td>
<td>1.428</td>
<td>65.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omeprazole 8</td>
<td>0** (0, 2)</td>
<td>3/8</td>
<td>1.364</td>
<td>66.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kruskal-Wallis H</td>
<td>12.84</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>one-way ANOVA df</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P &lt; 0.0121 0.0121</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significantly different from control at **P<0.01 (Wilcoxon Rank Sum test).

obtained in the study were compared with the vehicle control group. P values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Effect of AETP on ethanol induced gastric ulcers: Pretreatment of rats with AETP (1-20 mg/kg) produced a dose dependent protection from ethanol induced ulceration, as compared to control animals. However, the protection was not statistically significant at 1 mg/kg dose. Omeprazole (8 mg/kg) produced significant gastric ulcer protection as compared to control group (Table 1).

Effect of AETP on indomethacin induced gastric ulcers: Pretreatment of rats with AETP (5-20 mg/kg) produced a dose dependent protection from the indomethacin induced ulceration, as compared to control animals. The protection was statistically significant at 5, 10 and 20 mg/kg dose. Omeprazole (8 mg/kg) produced significant protection as compared to control group (Table 1).

Effect of AETP on cysteamine induced duodenal ulcers: In the cysteamine induced duodenal ulcers oral administration of AETP at the dose of 5-20 mg/kg showed a reduction in ulcer index in a dose dependent manner. AETP (20 mg/kg) produced
Table 3. Effect of AETP in pylorus ligated rats.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group and dose (mg/kg; oral)</th>
<th>Gastric juice volume (ml/4 h)</th>
<th>Total acid output (mEq/L)</th>
<th>Pepsin activity (per ml/h)</th>
<th>Ulcer index median (Min, Max)</th>
<th>% Ulcer protection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle control</td>
<td>8.85 ± 1.07</td>
<td>203.85 ± 9.70</td>
<td>3.14 ± 0.26</td>
<td>3 (3, 4)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AETP 5</td>
<td>9.13 ± 1.21</td>
<td>204.63 ± 10.54</td>
<td>3.02 ± 0.19</td>
<td>2** (0, 3)</td>
<td>52.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AETP 10</td>
<td>9.25 ± 1.25</td>
<td>196.75 ± 8.39</td>
<td>2.78 ± 0.18</td>
<td>1** (0, 2)</td>
<td>78.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omeprazole 8</td>
<td>6.25 ± 0.99</td>
<td>107.37 ± 6.25**</td>
<td>2.43 ± 0.13</td>
<td>1** (0, 1)</td>
<td>81.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One-way F 1.58 29.25 2.61 H =19.85
ANOVA df 3, 27 3, 27 3, 27 3
P 0.216 0.01 0.072 0.0002

Values are mean±SEM; Significantly different from control at *P value <0.05, **P value <0.01. (Wilcoxon Rank Sum test for ulcer index, Dunnett’s test for gastric juice, total acid output and pepsin activity).

statistically significant reduction in ulcer score as compared to control animals. Omeprazole (8 mg/kg) produced significant protection as compared to control group (Table 2).

**Effect of AETP in pylorus ligated rats:** AETP in the doses of 5-10 mg/kg produced a significant reduction in the ulcer index. However, it failed to produce any significant effect on gastric volume, total acid output and pepsin activity. Omeprazole (8 mg/kg) produced significant reduction in gastric ulcer and total acid output as compared to control group (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

Results of this study establish a cytoprotective action of AETP as it was found effective against both the models viz ethanol and 0.6 M HCl used for producing cytodestructive damage in the gastric mucosa of rats. Cytoprotection by drugs has been considered to be due to the generation of prostaglandins by anti-ulcer drugs when used in their non-antisecretory doses. The cytoprotective action has also been substantiated by the protective effect of AETP against indomethacin induced gastric ulceration in rats which is caused by the inhibition of the synthesis of endogenous cytoprotective prostaglandins. It has also been observed that AETP significantly and dose dependently reduced the extent of gastric ulceration in pylorus ligated rats without affecting the gastric secretion or pepsin activity. These results further point out to cytoprotection as the major mechanism responsible for the anti-ulcer activity of this drug as AETP produces significant anti-ulcer effect but not antisecretory effect. On the other hand omeprazole, the standard drug produces anti-ulcer effect by inhibiting gastric secretion and reducing pepsin activity. The protective effect of AETP against cysteamine induced duodenal ulcers may be due to the strengthening of duodenal mucosa or by other mechanisms like increased gastric and duodenal alkaline secretion or by increased luminal prostaglandin levels. Though we have not studied the active principles responsible for the anti-ulcer activity of AETP, it is likely that flavonoidal compounds tephrosin, pongaglabol and semiglabrin present in Tephrosia purpurea may be involved in this action as flavonoids have been reported to possess significant anti-ulcer activity in various experimental models of gastric and duodenal ulceration. Thus our studies establish a significant antiulcer and cytoprotective effect of AETP. However, further studies are required to establish its exact mode of action and the active principles involved in its anti-ulcer effect.
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The above article was printed by mistake. The same article under the title "Drug for leukemia" authored by G. Sharma and P. Goyal and edited by R. Balaraman appeared in the August 2002 issue of IJP.

IJP regrets the error and retracts the above article (Imatinib mesylate).

-Chief Editor, IJP