BACKGROUND

The Government of India has recommended that a child must be vaccinated against six vaccine-preventable diseases (polio, tuberculosis [TB], diphtheria, whooping cough, tetanus and measles); the recommended schedule for immunization is polio zero and BCG at birth, first dose of DPT and polio at 6 weeks, second dose of DPT and polio at 10 weeks, third dose of DPT and polio at 14 weeks, and measles at 9 months of age. In this study, a child is considered to be fully immunized if he/she receives all doses of the recommended vaccines (irrespective of polio zero given at birth).

Immunization coverage in rural Uttar Pradesh (UP) has remained low despite efforts to strengthen coverage. According to NFHS-3 (2005-06), only 20 percent of children aged 12-23 months (of mothers aged 15-34) were fully immunized in UP. Full immunization coverage increased to 30 percent in 2007-08 in the corresponding group of children.

OBJECTIVES

In October 2009, the Population Council conducted a formative study in rural UP with the following objectives:

(a) to determine the current rate of compliance for recommended schedules of child immunization,
(b) to identify the barriers and factors facilitating the uptake of full immunization,

data from NFHS-1, NFHS-2, NFHS-3 and DLHS-3 presented in this article are based on an analysis, conducted by the Population Council, of currently married women aged 15-34 in rural UP who had given birth in the three years preceding the survey.
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(c) to identify programmatic and behavior change communication (BCC) initiatives that could accelerate adoption of this practice.

The project was funded by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.

**Methodology**

The formative study was conducted in two phases. First, a survey was conducted covering 4,754 households, 4,472 currently married women aged 15-34 years who had delivered a child in the last three years, 2,274 husbands, 2,372 mothers-in-law, 289 ASHAs, 284 AWWs, 161 ANMs, 316 local private practitioners, 289 panchayat members (including Village Health and Sanitation Committee members) and staff at 144 government health facilities (PHCs and CHCs) from 225 villages in 12 districts spread across the Western, Central and Eastern regions of UP. In the second phase, 308 in-depth interviews were conducted with family-level stakeholders (women, husbands and mothers-in-law), health care providers (ASHAs, AWWs, private practitioners and dais) and panchayat members to complement the information gathered in the quantitative survey. The qualitative study was conducted in 24 villages: eight villages each from three districts, one district from each of the three regions. Details of the study design and data collection methods have been discussed in the introduction to this journal.

**Key Findings**

**Status**

The Population Council study shows that in rural UP, among children aged 12-23 months (N=1,500), 50 percent were fully immunized, 34 percent were partially immunized while 16 percent had received no immunization. Notably, there has been a 20 percentage point increase in full immunization from DLHS-3 to the present study (Figure 1). This increase is largely due to the performance-linked fee paid by the state government to ASHAs for facilitating full immunization.

Regional variations are evident in full and partial immunization coverage; just 36 percent of children in the Western region as compared to 57-58 percent in the Central and Eastern regions were fully immunized. In contrast, a higher percentage of children in the Western region (47 percent) than in the Central and Eastern regions (24 and 29 percent, respectively) were partially immunized. This is despite the fact that the Western region is far more developed than the other regions with regard to socio-economic and health infrastructure indicators. A reason for the relatively poor immunization coverage in the Western region is young women’s limited mobility.

**Barriers**

*Low risk perception of disease*

At the family level, there is a strong positive association between high perception of risk of a child falling ill or becoming disabled if not fully immunized and full immunization ($\chi^2$ test; p<0.001). For example, among women with an eligible child (aged 12-23 months) with low perception of risk (44 percent; N=663), just 35 percent had fully vaccinated their child as compared to 62 percent fully immunized children among 835 women with high risk perception.
The family as a unit was analyzed (N=397); findings show that as the number of key stakeholders in the family (women, husbands and mothers-in-law) perceiving high risk of acquiring disease if a child is not fully immunized increases, the percentage of fully immunized children in the family also increases. When no family member perceives the child is at risk of acquiring disease if not fully immunized, then only 22 percent of children were fully immunized; this increases to 69 percent when all the three family members perceive high risk (Figure 2).

Lack of faith in vaccination

Lack of faith in vaccination at the family level, particularly among family elders, is a barrier to full immunization. Indeed, lack of faith was a reason reported by 20 percent of women who had not immunized their child (N=246). The qualitative study corroborates these findings. A mother-in-law said:

“I feel that when we had not given any vaccine to my sons and nothing happened, then nothing will happen to my grandchild also.”

According to a husband:

“Nothing happens due to immunization; whatever God wants will happen.”

A similar view was expressed by a woman who said:

“In my entire family no one has ever got any immunization, like an injection. This is like a ritual. Therefore, I have not got any of my children vaccinated. No one has had any problem; however, I do give polio drops to my children.”

ANMs (27 percent) also noted parents’ lack of faith in immunization as a key barrier to the uptake of full immunization.

Lack of vaccine-related knowledge

Apart from polio, knowledge of vaccine-preventable diseases among all women was low: while 73 percent were aware that vaccinations can protect a child against polio, just 35-39 percent were aware that vaccinations can also protect a child against tetanus and measles. Less than 20 percent of women were aware that vaccinations can protect a child against whooping cough, TB and diphtheria. Qualitative research findings also reflect family members’ limited knowledge of vaccinations. For example, a woman said:

“I don’t know how many doses and in which month vaccinations are to be given. I go on my own for vaccination. There nobody tells us when to return for the next dose.”

Notably, 37 percent of all women with an eligible child lacked correct knowledge of vaccine-preventable diseases. Yet, among them, approximately 13 percent had fully vaccinated their child. On probing, women revealed that the child had been taken by a relative or neighbor for vaccination, or the woman herself had acted on the advice of a frontline health worker and got the child immunized.

A key reason for partial immunization is lack of awareness that immunization can be continued even if a child misses a vaccine dose. Among women who had discontinued immunization (N=504), 15
The Journal of Family Welfare

percent had done so because the child had missed a scheduled vaccination day due to various reasons such as the ANM did not turn up to provide vaccination services or the child was ill.

**Fear of side effects of vaccination**

Among women who had not immunized their child or had partially immunized their child, 38 percent and 13 percent respectively, reported fear of side effects of vaccination as the main reason for not doing so (Table 1). According to a woman:

> “No vaccination is required; it causes fever, pain and swelling, and the child cries a lot.”

A few women whose previous child had experienced side effects following vaccination had refused to vaccinate their next child. For example:

> “I will not give any vaccine to this child; my elder child developed an abscess and got fever after vaccination.”

Approximately half of each ANMs and ASHAs also cited women’s fear of side effects as the key reason for children not being fully immunized. Indeed, among women who had fully or partially immunized their child (N=1,254), 36 percent had not been counseled by providers on the possible side effects of vaccination during their last immunization visit.

**Lack of family support**

Qualitative study findings show that young mothers’ limited mobility and lack of family support were reasons for non-immunization, particularly in the Western region. For example, a woman said:

> “I want to get my child vaccinated but I don’t go out of the house. I also tried to talk to my husband but he doesn’t listen to me.”

A similar view was expressed by another young woman:

> “I am trying to immunize my child on my own. My husband does not support me; he says polio has been given to the child so there is no need to give other vaccinations. I do not know what is in his mind. Because of lack of support from my husband and other family members, two doses have been missed.”

Another woman commented:

> “My child missed one dose because I had gone to the field when the ANM visited, and there was no one in the family to take the child. My husband never goes. I do not talk to him because I am scared of him. My mother-in-law also does not do anything.”

**Lack of knowledge of the place and day of immunization**

Among women who had not vaccinated their child, 12 percent had not sought immunization services because they were not aware of the place of immunization. Only 57 percent of all women with an eligible child were aware that there is a fixed immunization day.
when health workers visit their village to provide immunization services. A woman said:

“My child has got only one vaccination. Other vaccines have not been given because I don’t know the immunization day. I don’t know when the ANM comes to the village.”

**Uncertainty of service provision**

Nine percent of women and 11 percent of ASHAs reported non-availability of the ANM on the scheduled immunization day as a reason for no or partial immunization.\(^{11,12}\) As a woman noted:

“No ANM has come for the last three months; hence I was not able to get my child vaccinated.”

A similar view was expressed by another woman:

“The ANM has not been coming to the village for four months. If immunization is done in the village then I will get my child immunized. For immunization I have to walk all the way to the PHC and I cannot go alone. If my husband takes me on the cycle then the child can get vaccinated, but he does not have the time.”

Another woman said:

“My child has not been vaccinated because whenever I tried, the ANM was not available. I had taken my child for vaccination to the PHC and found it was closed. I went again after few days but at that time the ANM was not available to give the vaccination. How many times do I have to go?”

**Limited counseling by health workers**

Of the women who had been contacted by the ASHA (N=1,783), only 26 percent reported receiving advice on child immunization. A slightly larger percentage of all husbands and mothers-in-law (35 and 40 percent, respectively) had been similarly advised by the ASHA. The qualitative study corroborates these findings. For example a mother said:

“My child has not received any vaccination because nobody told me about immunization and when child needs to be given vaccines. The ASHA and AWW have not given me any advice on immunization. If they had, I would have definitely vaccinated my child.”

Another woman commented:

“My sister-in-law and I took the child for immunization. The ANM did not inform us when the next dose is to be given. The ASHA has also not told us about where and when immunization will be done. Even now no one has called us for vaccination; because of this, the child did not get vaccinated.”

The survey data shows that one-third of women were not informed by the health provider about the next scheduled immunization day.

**Facilitating Factors**

A logistic regression analysis was conducted to identify the determinants and facilitating factors of full immunization. Results of the analysis are presented in Table 2. The key facilitating factors that were identified are discussed below.

**Women’s education**

Among background characteristics, women with a secondary or higher education were three times (OR=3.24, \(p<0.001\)) more likely than those with no education to fully immunize their child.

**Knowledge of the next scheduled immunization date**

If women are advised about the next scheduled immunization date, the rate of full immunization increases two and a half times (OR=2.63, \(p<0.001\)). Further, women who were aware of the monthly
immunization day were about one and half times (OR=1.49, p<0.001) more likely than those who were not aware to fully immunize their child.

Knowledge of the side effects of vaccination

Knowledge of the side effects of vaccination is a strong facilitator for full immunization. Women who were advised by health providers on the possible side effects of vaccination were almost two times (OR=1.75, p<0.001) more likely to fully immunize their child than those who did not receive any advice.

ANC contact is an import focal point for the provision of information on immunization and addressing concerns about the side effects of immunization. The analysis indicates that women who had three or more ANC check-ups were more than two times (OR=2.21, p<0.001) more likely to fully immunize their child as compared to those who had no ANC check-up.

Awareness of risk if the child is not fully immunized

Women who perceived high risk of disease, disability or death if a child is not immunized were almost two times (OR= 1.81, p<0.001) more likely to fully immunize their child as compared to those who perceived no risk or some risk. The rate of full immunization increases from 22 percent to 69 percent when the number of stakeholders perceiving risk increases from one to three in a family.

Credibility of frontline workers as a source of information

Most women (68 percent) reported that the ANM and ASHA are key facilitators for immunization and a trusted source of information and advice on health issues. Contact and advice from the ASHA on immunization increases the rate of fully immunized children one and half times.

Ensuring the availability of health providers and supplies

Full immunization coverage can be achieved only if BCC efforts are supported by the availability of reliable services and supplies. If an immunization facility (anganwadi center, sub-center/ PHC) is available within the village or within a radius of 1.5 km, children were two times (OR=2.12, p<0.001) more likely to be fully immunized as compared to children in a village where such a facility is not available. Additionally, there is a strong association (χ² test, p<0.01) between full immunization and village size. Most small villages (population <1,000) and isolated hamlets do not have any health facility; as a result, 64 percent of children from such villages remain partially immunized or are not immunized.

### TABLE 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Education of women</td>
<td>No education*</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>1.95**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher</td>
<td>3.24**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received advice from ASHA on immunization</td>
<td>No*</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.56*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability of any government facility</td>
<td>Outside village*</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Within village</td>
<td>2.12**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of ANC check-ups</td>
<td>No ANC*</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;3 check-ups</td>
<td>1.67**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥3 check-ups</td>
<td>2.21**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived risk</td>
<td>No perceived risk*</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>1.81**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aware of monthly immunization day</td>
<td>No*</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.49**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place of vaccination</td>
<td>PHC/CHC/any other*</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anganwadi center</td>
<td>1.66**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sub-center</td>
<td>2.17**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informed about next immunization date</td>
<td>No*</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2.63**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informed about possible side effects</td>
<td>No*</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.75**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Analysis based on children aged 12-23 months among mothers aged 15-34. Dependent variable: Full immunization (1=Yes, 0=No); *Reference category; *p < 0.05; ** p < 0.001.
Implications for the BCC Strategy

Audience segmentation

Findings suggest several key variables for audience segmentation when designing a BCC strategy. At the macro level, remote villages with a population of less than 1,000, without an anganwadi center/health facility would need special attention. At the family level, the focus should be on economically disadvantaged families and non-literate women. In addition to women, husbands and mothers-in-law should also be the focus of provider counseling on immunization.

Use of multi media

A key barrier to immunization uptake is the lack of correct knowledge on immunization at the family level. Multimedia channels should be used to provide critical information on vaccine-preventable diseases, immunization schedules, village vaccination day, scheduled vaccination days and possible side effects. In addition, clients would need to be informed that immunization should not be discontinued if they have missed any dose. Several community members suggested that making announcements about village immunization days and the place of immunization, one day before, using a simple “mikeing” system, would be effective. As one husband said:

“We get to know about what picture is running at the theater only because it is announced on a mike. Why can’t you do the same for the immunization day and place of immunization?”

IPC to play a lead role supported by mass media and mid-media channels

Communication channels should include an appropriate media mix, led by IPC efforts by frontline health workers. As an ASHA suggested:

“The way to promote immunization is to go to women’s homes and tell families the benefits of immunization.”

Disseminating information on immunization days in the village using loudspeakers to inform the community about immunization days, existing forums like the Village Health and Nutrition Day to reinforce messages and school children to promote awareness in the community on immunization could be effective.

Use of mobile phones

Most ASHAs and ANMs have a mobile phone and an increasing number of families are also accessing this facility. ASHAs should be encouraged to maintain a list of client families that have access to a mobile phone, and use their phones to remind these families about action to be taken (e.g. for child immunization). Providing frontline health workers similar reminders on the phone and ensuring supportive supervision could lead to timely dissemination of messages and necessary action.

Build risk perception at the family level

Given the high correlation between risk perception and immunization uptake, messages need to reach all key stakeholders in the family (women, mothers-in-law, husbands) to inform them of the risks of not immunizing or partially immunizing their child.

Advocacy with state and district officials to strengthen BCC and service provision

Sustained advocacy is also required to address system-level issues if behavior change is to be achieved at the desired pace. The finding that ANMs/ASHAs/AWWs are a trusted and credible source of information in the community on health-related issues for families suggests that they can be key agents in behavior change. However, efforts are needed to ensure that aligned messages and comprehensive information
on immunization and maternal and child health care are provided through IPC during ANC visits, at the time of discharge from the facility after delivery and during other contacts with the family.
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